| Examiner-initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | 63 VAN STEVENDAAL ET AL | |
|--|--------------------------|--------------------------|-----------|
| | 10/596,463 Examiner | | |
| | | | |
| | All Participants: | Status of Application | on: |
| (1) ALI BAYAT. | (3) | | |
| (2) Michael J. Corrigan (Applicant's representative). | (4) | | |
| Date of Interview: 18 March 2010 | Time: | | |
| Type of Interview: Telephonic Video Conference Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant | ant's representative) | | |
| Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No If Yes, provide a brief description: | | | |
| Part I. | | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: No | | | |
| Claims discussed: | | | |
| Yes | | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: No | | | |
| Part II. | | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE | | | |
| Examiner called Mr. Corrigan that applicantion is in allowable or and the potential rejection will be overcome by adding "non-tra | | | ns 10-11, |
| Part III. | | | |
| it is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. To of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate | ne examiner will provide | a written summary of the | substance |

/Ali Bayat/ Examiner, Art Unit 2624

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature - if appropriate)